UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,034	12/14/2000	Werner Obrecht	MO5842/LEA 34092	4130
34947 LANXESS CO	7590 04/25/201 RPORATION	2	EXAMINER	
111 RIDC PAR	K WEST DRIVE	SERGENT, RABON A		
PITTSBURGH, PA 15275-1112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipmail@lanxess.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 09/739,034	Applicant(s) OBRECHT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit

Ra	bon Sergent	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance;						
(2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. c) A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed						
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL						
REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED S Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date						
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining th	e period of extension and the cor	responding amount of the fee. The				
appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if cher						
mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 February 2012. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a						
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	time period set forth in 37 CFR	41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior t	o the date of filing a brief, will no	be entered because				
a) They raise new issues that would require further considera	-					
 b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
 They are not deemed to place the application in better forr appeal; and/or 						
 d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	onding number of finally rejected	I claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Complia	nt Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable allowable claim(s).		-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
 STATUS OF CLAIMS						
14. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 23-32.						
Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 25-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1765				